

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/747,029 | UNION ET AL..2 |
| | Examiner DiBrino Marianne | Art Unit 1644 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) DiBrino Marianne. (3) _____.

(2) Madsen, Matthew L.. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2004, 23 August 2004 **Time:** various

Type of Interview: and 16 August 2004,

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

The discussed changes to the claims have been implemented in Applicant's amendment filed 8/23/04 and in an Examiner's amendment of 8/23/04.


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)